# Student Perspectives on Software Used in an Introductory Statistical Computing Course

Chelsea Snyder Julia L. Sharp

Clemson University

May 19 - 23, 2014

#### Introduction

- Importance of statistical computing
  - Readiness to solve real-world problems
  - Job preparation

#### Introduction

- Importance of statistical computing
  - Readiness to solve real-world problems
  - Job preparation
- Introductory statistical computing course at Clemson University
  - $\bullet~$  SAS and R
  - Data importation, data manipulation, basic descriptive statistics, basic graphical procedures, inference for a single mean
  - Majors of Undergraduate Students: Mathematical Sciences, etc.
  - Majors of Graduate Students: Agricultural Education, Applied Economics and Statistics, Applied Sociology, Economics, Policy Studies, Wildlife and Fisheries Biology, etc.

#### Introduction

- Importance of statistical computing
  - Readiness to solve real-world problems
  - Job preparation
- Introductory statistical computing course at Clemson University
  - SAS and R
  - Data importation, data manipulation, basic descriptive statistics, basic graphical procedures, inference for a single mean
  - Majors of Undergraduate Students: Mathematical Sciences, etc.
  - Majors of Graduate Students: Agricultural Education, Applied Economics and Statistics, Applied Sociology, Economics, Policy Studies, Wildlife and Fisheries Biology, etc.
- Goal of study: To determine which software programs should be focused upon in the course to best prepare students for their future work

#### Pre-Course Survey: Format and Participants

Format:

- Students who took course in 2011 and 2012
- Software proficiency and interest
- Computer science, database, and LATEX exposure and experience

#### Pre-Course Survey: Format and Participants

Format:

- Students who took course in 2011 and 2012
- Software proficiency and interest
- Computer science, database, and LATEX exposure and experience

Participants:

- 41 students took the course in 2011 and 2012
- 34 (82.93%) students consented and completed the survey
- 23 (67.65%) undergraduate student respondents, and 11 (32.35%) graduate student respondents

#### Post-Course Survey: Format and Participants

Format:

- Students who took the course in 2008 2012
- Software proficiency and use
- Software usefulness in current jobs and/or coursework
- Recommendations and what software to focus upon in future semesters

#### Post-Course Survey: Format and Participants

Format:

- Students who took the course in 2008 2012
- Software proficiency and use
- Software usefulness in current jobs and/or coursework
- Recommendations and what software to focus upon in future semesters

Participants:

- 69 total students took the course between 2008 and 2012
- 21 (35.00%) students consented and completed the post-course survey
- 14 (67.67%) undergraduate student respondents, and 7 (33.33%) graduate student respondents

#### Software Used

#### Software Used Prior to Course



Other: Undergraduate – MatLab, Maple; Graduate – Eviews, Alglib.net

#### Software Used

Software Used Prior to Course



Software Used Since Course



Other: Undergraduate – MatLab, Maple; Graduate – Eviews, Alglib.net Other: Undergraduate – Winbugs, Mplus Graduate – (none)

#### Pre-Course Software Proficiency



Figure: Comparing Proficiency for Undergraduate Students and Graduate Students, Where a \* Indicates Significance at  $\alpha = 0.05$ 

#### Pre-Course Software Proficiency



Figure: Comparing Proficiency for Undergraduate Students and Graduate Students, Where a \* Indicates Significance at  $\alpha = 0.05$ 

Proficiency of graduate students is significantly greater than proficiency of undergraduate students for JMP, SAS, and SPSS.

#### Post-Course Software Proficiency



### Figure: Proficiency for Undergraduate and Graduate Students, Where a \* Indicates Significance at $\alpha = 0.05$

#### Post-Course Software Proficiency



Figure: Proficiency for Undergraduate and Graduate Students, Where a \* Indicates Significance at  $\alpha = 0.05$ 

• Following the course, proficiency of graduate students is significantly greater than proficiency of undergraduate students for JMP.

#### Post-Course Software Proficiency



Figure: Proficiency for Undergraduate and Graduate Students, Where a \* Indicates Significance at  $\alpha = 0.05$ 

- Following the course, proficiency of graduate students is significantly greater than proficiency of undergraduate students for JMP.
- There is a significant increase in proficiency from before to after the course for JMP, R, and SAS.

#### Post-Course Software Proficiency



Figure: Proficiency for Undergraduate and Graduate Students, Where a \* Indicates Significance at  $\alpha = 0.05$ 

- Following the course, proficiency of graduate students is significantly greater than proficiency of undergraduate students for JMP.
- There is a significant increase in proficiency from before to after the course for JMP, R, and SAS.
- Undergraduate and graduate students did not significantly differ in their change in proficiency.

#### Post-Course Software Use in Current Position

"Learning \_\_\_\_\_\_ in this course prepared me for its use in my current position."



Figure: Comparing Agreement for Undergraduate Students and Graduate Students, Where a \* Indicates Significance at  $\alpha = 0.05$ 

#### Post-Course Software Use in Current Position

"Learning \_\_\_\_\_\_ in this course prepared me for its use in my current position."



Graduate

Undergraduate

Figure: Comparing Agreement for Undergraduate Students and Graduate Students, Where a \* Indicates Significance at  $\alpha = 0.05$ 

There is not a significant difference in agreement with the benefit of learning a program for their current position between undergraduate and graduate student respondents.

#### Post-Course Frequency of Software Use



Figure: Comparing Post-Course Frequency of Software Use for Undergraduate Students and Graduate Students, Where a \* Indicates Significance at  $\alpha = 0.05$ 

#### Post-Course Frequency of Software Use



Figure: Comparing Post-Course Frequency of Software Use for Undergraduate Students and Graduate Students, Where a \* Indicates Significance at  $\alpha = 0.05$ There is not a significant difference between undergraduate and graduate student respondents in frequency of use of software packages.

Chelsea Snyder Julia L. Sharp Student Perspectives on Software

#### Software Interest and Recommendations

## Software Learning Preference in Course



Other: SQL Language

#### Software Interest and Recommendations

Software Learning Preference in Course



## Software Recommendations for Future Semesters



Other: SQL Language

#### Conclusion

Students would be best served if they were taught **SAS**, **R**, and **Microsoft Excel** in the introductory statistics course.

#### Conclusion

Students would be best served if they were taught **SAS**, **R**, and **Microsoft Excel** in the introductory statistics course.

Main Limitation of Study: Small Sample Sizes

- Pre-course survey: Not given to students who took course in 2008 2010
- Post-course survey: Not all who were contacted responded to the survey request

#### Conclusion

Students would be best served if they were taught **SAS**, **R**, and **Microsoft Excel** in the introductory statistics course.

Main Limitation of Study: Small Sample Sizes

- Pre-course survey: Not given to students who took course in 2008 2010
- Post-course survey: Not all who were contacted responded to the survey request

Future Work

- Change wording of question regarding software proficiency, as it may have contributed to high non-response levels for this question.
- Continue to implement the surveys to add to current data